

REMARKS

This paper is filed in response to the Office action mailed on April 22, 2008. The Office action asserts a restriction requirement alleging that the claims are directed to three patentably distinct inventions, namely: Invention I, including claims 46-50; Invention II, including claims 77-80; and Invention III, including claims 81-96. In response, Applicants elect Invention III (claims 81-96) without traverse.

It is submitted that the present application is in good and proper form for allowance. A favorable action on the part of the Examiner is respectfully solicited.


If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

The Patent Office is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith to our Deposit Account No. 50-3629.

Respectfully submitted,
MILLER, MATTHIAS & HULL

May 22, 2008

By:


Brent E. Matthias, Reg. No. 41,974
One North Franklin
Suite 2350
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 977-9902